Hassan Rouhani, Vladimir Putin, and Recep Tayyip Erdoğan met in Turkey on April 4 to discuss “ending the Syrian war, cementing their influence over Syria’s future and isolating the United States diplomatically.”¹ Notably, the Syrian regime was not represented at this summit. Even so, the three presidents struggled to find common ground.² While they appeared most comfortable blaming the United States for Syria’s instability,³ the only other points on which they publicly agreed were the need for a political solution to the war and the necessity of preserving the territorial integrity of Syria.⁴ Putin added that they were all committed to strengthening the “sovereignty” and “independence” of Syria.⁵ Yet events since January suggest that Turkey and Iran are increasingly competing for influence and do not share a common vision for the future of Iraq and Syria.⁶
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As the modern successors to the Ottoman and Safavid empires, respectively, Turkey and Iran share a long history of rivalry. Nevertheless, in September 2017, the Kurdistan Regional Government’s (KRG) referendum brought them together in opposition to the Kurdish bid for independence from Iraq. In response to the referendum, they coordinated their responses, punishing the KRG by cutting off commercial ties and threatening it by conducting joint military exercises along the KRG borders. At the same time, Baghdad closed the KRG’s air space, cutting off the region from international air traffic. But the devastating blow to Kurdish aspirations came in mid-October when Qassem Soleimani, the Iranian Revolutionary Guard Corps’ (IRGC) al-Quds Force commander, orchestrated Baghdad’s decision to march on Kirkuk. The Iraqi Security Forces (ISF) ultimately reversed all of the KRG’s territorial gains in northern Iraq that were achieved in 2014 following the precipitous withdrawal of the Iraqi state’s forces in the face of the Islamic State’s rise. Not only did the KRG lose its "Kurdish Jerusalem," but Kirkuk accounted for nearly half of the KRG’s total daily oil production. The semi-autonomous Kurdish region of Iraq has its own military, parliament, and laws, but is locked in a bitter dispute with Baghdad over the percentage of the federal budget that should be allocated. The KRG was supposed to receive 17 percent of the federal budget, but in the wake of Baghdad’s October 2017 military operation that reclaimed Kirkuk and other disputed territories that Erbil had controlled since 2014, Haider al-‘Abadi’s government offered the KRG just 12.6 percent. The International Monetary Fund (IMF) has told Baghdad that 12.6 percent is not enough to cover the KRG’s operating expenses. Since 2014, the KRG’s economy has survived on the oil it exported directly to international markets through Turkey (Ceyhan), circumventing Baghdad. However, the decline in oil prices, the KRG’s bloated government payrolls, the presence of more than 1.5 million internally displaced people in its territory, and widespread corruption have left the KRG cash-strapped since 2016.
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Baghdad seized the Kirkuk oil fields (Bai Hassan and Avana) in October 2017, the KRG effectively lost much of its autonomy. In late 2017, Iran and Turkey appeared to be working together, coordinating their efforts to prevent expanded Kurdish autonomy and/or independence in Iraq.

That appears to have changed between January 20-21 and March 18, 2018, when Turkey invaded and occupied the Afrin district of northwest Syria, ending Kurdish self-governance in the area. Turkey’s war in Syria is no longer focused on Bashar al-Asad, as it was from 2011 to 2015. Turkish President Erdoğan initiated “Operation Olive Branch” to signal to the U.S. that he intended to make good on his threats to prevent the Democratic Union Party (PYD) from establishing an autonomous Kurdish entity on Turkey’s 820 kilometer-long southern border with Syria. Turkey views the PYD as part of the Turkey-based Kurdistan Workers’ Party/Partiya Karkerên Kurdistanê (PKK), which the U.S. and EU have designated a terrorist organization, and which has conducted a thirty-year guerilla war against Turkey. Turkey sees the PYD’s military forces, the People’s Protection Units/Yekîneyên Parastina Gel (YPG) and Women’s Protection Units/Yekîneyên Parastina Jin (YPJ) as no different from the PKK’s guerrillas. In Ankara’s eyes, the U.S. partnership with the PYD in Syria was a betrayal. Turkey wheeled into action in late January after a series of U.S. statements suggesting that the U.S. was planning to convert the YPG-led Syrian Democratic Forces into a permanent “border security force” for Syria.

Erdoğan’s bellicose rhetoric suggests he is serious about using his military to create a security belt across the entire length of Syria’s 820-kilometer border with Syria. “Now we will continue this process until we entirely eliminate this corridor, including in Manbij, ‘Ayn al-Arab [Kobanî], Tel-Abyad, Ras al-‘Ayn [Serê Kaniyê] and Qamishli,” Erdoğan declared in a March 19 speech in Ankara. Turkey claims there was an “understanding” with former U.S. Secretary of State
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Rex Tillerson in February 2018 that the U.S. would remove YPG forces from Manbij within 90 days. The U.S. denied that there was any such agreement, and senior U.S. officials and military commanders were visiting local officials in Manbij in late March to reassure them that the U.S. will continue to operate there. Nevertheless, Haberturk reported that Turkey plans to launch an operation to take Manbij in late May-early June. Manbij is located midway between Aleppo and Raqq, the former Syrian capital of the Islamic State, and thus sits as a gateway between the major population centers on either side of the Euphrates River. More importantly, Manbij and the territory east of the Euphrates was not included in the four de-escalation zones established by Russia with Turkey and Iran in Astana in May 2017. Therefore, a Turkish move on Manbij would be a direct challenge to the U.S. sphere of influence in eastern Syria.

On the face of it, Iran would welcome this development. However, Turkey’s Operation Olive Branch has also led to signs of a growing rivalry between Turkey and Iran in Syria, and perhaps in Iraq as well. Turkey’s occupation of Afrin has impinged on the Asad regime’s sovereignty, and Turkey’s plan to repopulate these districts with its Free Syrian Army allies, which include some salafi-jihadi groups, poses a long-term threat to the Asad regime’s and Iran’s plans to help the regime reconsolidate control over Syrian territory. What's more, Erdoğan’s statements in early February 2018, suggested Turkey intended to resettle the 3.5 million Syrian refugees currently in Turkey in “peaceful” Afrin and Idlib. Asking rhetorically, "What is behind the Afrin operation?" Erdoğan explained, “We will solve the Afrin issue, the Idlib issue and we want that our refugee brothers and sisters return to their country.” After Turkey’s 2016-2017 Euphrates Shield operation, Turkey resettled 140,000 Syrian refugees in the area between Azaz and al-Bab. Turkey initially plans to resettle 60,000 Syrian refugees in Afrin
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city, and ultimately it plans to relocate 500,000 Syrians who currently live in camps in Turkey. Not only would this Arabize the previously Kurdish-controlled district, but it would also transform the region into an anti-Asad stronghold. Turkey’s plan to squeeze the Syrian Kurds from the east (Afrin and Euphrates Shield has boxed them in from the west) has led to a plan to attack the PKK on the Iraqi side of the border with northeastern Syria. This poses a threat to Iranian interests, as well.

Erdoğan reportedly authorized Turkey’s “Operation Tigris Shield,” targeting Sinjar in Nineveh Province, at the end of March 2018. Sinjar lies more than 100 kilometers from the Turkish-Iraqi border, but Turkey views Sinjar as an important transit point for PKK forces traveling between the Kurdish cantons in northeastern Syria and the PKK redoubt in the Qandil Mountains of northern Iraq. Turkey’s putative operation would not only threaten the Kurds’ freedom of movement, but also challenge Iran’s plan to build a “land bridge” across Iraq and Syria. Iran’s efforts have focused on controlling the border crossings between Syria and Iraq, and Turkey’s offensive may pose a threat to Iranian backed militias along the Syrian-Iraqi frontier. Iran has its Afghan militias on the Syrian side of the Iraqi border, and it is unclear if Turkey has coordinated its anti-PKK operations with Iran, or if Turkey and Iran have an agreement on control over and access to the Syrian-Iraqi border. Will Turkey’s operation obstruct or facilitate Iran’s ambition to move its manpower and military material across the corridor connecting Syria and Iraq?

Erdoğan has promised to drive the PKK out of Sinjar in Nineveh Province and the Qandil Mountains in the north of Erbil Province. By late March, Turkish forces had reportedly advanced ten kilometers into KRG territory, and occupied 28 villages. Initially, however, Baghdad and Ankara denied that Turkey was carrying out operations in Iraq. Prime Minister Binali Yıldırım said that Turkey would not conduct military operations in Iraq without Baghdad’s consent, emphasizing that Turkey would respect Iraq’s sovereignty. But that hasn’t
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happened. Turkish forces have advanced another 10 kilometers into Iraq and now control most of the territory north of Erbil-Dohuk in the Kurdistan region of Iraq, including Sidikan town, which is closer to Iraq’s border with Iran than its border with Syria.\(^{37}\) There have been reports throughout April of fighting between the Turkish military and PKK forces on Iraqi territory in Erbil Province.\(^{38}\) It is unlikely Turkey will initiate a major military operation before the Iraqi elections on May 12, but a broad Turkish offensive against the PKK in Iraq before Turkey’s snap elections on June 24 does seem like a strong possibility.

The emerging tension between Turkey and Iran has three important implications for future developments in Syria and Iraq. First, Iran would like to see the regimes in Damascus and Baghdad reestablish centralized sovereign authority, but recent Turkish military operations against the PYD and PKK in Syria and Iraq are weakening the sovereignty of the Asad and ‘Abadi regimes, and reinforcing the de facto spheres of influence that have been created by international and regional powers. Second, the Turkish offensives in Syria and Iraq and the Baghdad-Erbil standoff in Iraq have created new space for Islamic State insurgents to operate. In late March 2018 Kurdish villagers in Kirkuk Province were reported to be fleeing their homes in Tuz and Daquq as a result of ten Islamic State attacks in the span of a week.\(^{39}\) In a March 27 statement, ‘Abadi urged Iraqis to come together in the face of renewed Islamic State attacks to avoid another, 2014 style state collapse.\(^{40}\) Third, the Kurdish losses in Syria and Iraq, as well as the Turkish alliance with jihadis in Afrin, may push the PKK and Iran into each other’s arms again. The PYD, facing the possibility of U.S. withdrawal in Syria, may try to hedge its bets and seek protection from the Asad regime and Iran. Similarly, Iran may opt for supporting the PYD/PKK in Syria and Iraq in order to contain the Syrian opposition in Afrin and Idlib, and to use the PKK to counter the Turkish challenge to Iran’s influence in Damascus and Baghdad. For the time being, their shared suspicion of U.S. objectives in Syria have overshadowed their differences, and this may continue given the growing
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confrontations between Iran and the U.S. and Iran and Israel.\textsuperscript{41} However, at the same time, it is important to be mindful of Turkey and Iran’s competing interests in the future of Syria and Iraq.
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